OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **BEFORE THE** POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

| In the Matter of: |) | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------------|----------|
| MARKET TEST OF REPOSITIONABLE |) | | |
| NOTES ON FIRST-CLASS MAIL, |) | Docket No. | MC2004-5 |
| STANDARD MAIL, AND PERIODICALS |) | | |

VOLUME #1

Date:

August 11, 2004

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Pages: 1 through 11

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 628-4888

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

Room 300
Postal Rate Commission
1333 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Volume 1 Wednesday, August 11, 2004

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing pursuant to notice, at 11:06 a.m.

BEFORE:

HON. GEORGE A. OMAS, CHAIRMAN HON. TONY A. HAMMOND, VICE-CHAIRMAN HON. RUTH Y. GOLDWAY, COMMISSIONER HON. DANA B. COVINGTON, COMMISSIONER

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the United States Postal Service:

SCOTT REITER, Esquire United States Postal Service Law Department 475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-2999 APPEARANCES: (cont'd.)

On behalf of the Office of the Consumer Advocate:

SHELLEY DREIFUSS, Esquire Postal Rate Commission Office of the Consumer Advocate 1333 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. (202) 789-6837

| 1 | <u>PRQCEEDINGS</u> |
|----|--|
| 2 | (11:06 a.m.) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: Good morning. This is a |
| 4 | prehearing conference in Docket MC2004-5, considering |
| 5 | the request of the Postal Service for the |
| 6 | establishment of new classifications and rates for the |
| 7 | attachment of repositionable notes on the covers of |
| 8 | first-class mail, standard mail, and periodicals. |
| 9 | As most of you know, I am George Omas, |
| 10 | chairman of the Commission. Commission Order No. 1413 |
| 11 | gave notice of the Postal Service request and |
| 12 | announced that it would be processed as a request for |
| 13 | a provisional service change under subpart J of the |
| 14 | Commission's Rules of Practice. That order also |
| 15 | authorizes the conduct of settlement discussions. |
| 16 | The reporter in this case is Heritage |
| 17 | Reporting Company. There are forms for noting |
| 18 | appearance available on the table as you enter the |
| 19 | hearing room. If you wish to purchase transcripts, |
| 20 | you should see the reporter after today's conference |
| 21 | or call (202) 628-4888. |
| 22 | Before proceeding further, I would ask |
| 23 | counsel to identify themselves for the record. The |
| 24 | United States Postal Service. |
| 25 | MR. REITER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. |
| | Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888 |

- 1 Scott Reiter on behalf of the United States Postal
- 2 Service.
- 3 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Association for Postal
- 4 Commerce.
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Direct Marketing
- 7 Association, Inc.
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Magazine Publishers of
- 10 America, Inc.
- 11 (No response.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Mailing and Fulfillment
- 13 Service Association.
- 14 (No response.)
- 15 CHAIRMAN OMAS: National Newspaper
- 16 Association.
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Office of the Consumer
- 19 Advocate.
- MS. DREIFUSS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.
- 21 I'm Shelley Dreifuss with the Office of the Consumer
- 22 Advocate.
- 23 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. David B. Popkin.
- 24 (No response.)
- 25 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Val-Pak Dealers Association,

| 1 | Inc. |
|----|--|
| 2 | (No response.) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: Val-Pak Direct Marketing |
| 4 | Systems, Inc. |
| 5 | (No response.) |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: Is there anyone whom I have |
| 7 | omitted? |
| 8 | (No response.) |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: It is my understanding that |
| 10 | a settlement conference was conducted yesterday, |
| 11 | August 10th. Mr. Reiter, would you please provide us |
| 12 | with a report on the progress toward arriving at a |
| 13 | settlement in this case? |
| 14 | MR. REITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The |
| 15 | conference was attended by representatives from OCA, |
| 16 | NNA, NPA, Post Com, MFSA, and Postal Service. Mr. |
| 17 | Volner, representing Post Com |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: Would you speak a little |
| 19 | closer to the mike? |
| 20 | MR. REITER: Sure. Mr. Volner, representing |
| 21 | Post Com and MFSA, indicated that he'll be filing a |
| 22 | motion to dismiss the proceeding today. So I don't |
| 23 | think that he will be participating in any settlement. |

The other parties that met had various concerns, but

we are going to be continuing discussions with them,

24

25

| 1 | and I think the prospects for us to reach some |
|----|--|
| 2 | agreement with their various concerns are fairly good. |
| 3 | So we won't have an unopposed settlement, but we will |
| 4 | have, hopefully, one that is partial and may help the |
| 5 | Commission in reaching a recommended decision quickly. |
| 6 | I don't think any of the parties as you |
| 7 | saw, most of them are not here today. I don't think |
| 8 | any of them think that we need to have a hearing, |
| 9 | although that may be dependent on some answers to |
| 10 | discovery that we anticipate will be provided by at |
| 11 | least one of the parties within the next few days. |
| 12 | But I think the chances for avoiding hearings are also |
| 13 | good. As far as I'm aware, no one has raised a real |
| 14 | issue of material fact based on what we've filed so |
| 15 | far. |
| 16 | I also spoke with counsel for DMA and Val- |
| 17 | Pak, who were not there. I don't think DMA has quite |
| 18 | formulated their position yet, and I don't think that |
| 19 | Val-Pak will be taking one. I think that they were |
| 20 | just generally interested as opposed to specifically |
| 21 | interested, and I don't believe that Mr. Popkin will |
| 22 | be taking a position either. So we will be continuing |
| 23 | settlement discussions with the various parties. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you, Mr. Reiter. |
| 25 | Does any participant wish to add to Mr. |

| 1 | Reiter's report? |
|----|--|
| 2 | (No response.) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you, Mr. Reiter. |
| 4 | There are several outstanding procedural |
| 5 | items. First, the Postal Service filed a motion for |
| 6 | waiver of certain filing requirements. Commission |
| 7 | Order 1413 directed that responses to that motion be |
| 8 | submitted by August 6, 2004. No responses in |
| 9 | opposition to the Postal Service motion were received. |
| 10 | Does any participant wish to log a response in |
| 11 | opposition at this time? |
| 12 | (No response.) |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: A procedural order will be |
| 14 | issued shortly, there being no objection, a procedural |
| 15 | order will be issued shortly after the conclusion of |
| 16 | today's conference. The motion for waiver will be |
| 17 | addressed in that order. |
| 18 | Next is the question of discovery. |
| 19 | Yesterday, the National Newspaper Association filed a |
| 20 | motion requesting the extension of discovery in this |
| 21 | docket through next Monday, April 16th. This morning |
| 22 | the Office of the Consumer Advocate filed a statement |
| 23 | indicating it supports that motion. Does any |
| 24 | participant want discovery to extend beyond August |
| 25 | 16th? |

| 1 | MS. DREIFUSS: Mr. Chairman, I had only one |
|----|---|
| 2 | amendment to my statement this morning, and I don't |
| 3 | think it's going to be a problem. |
| 4 | The Postal Service intends to file a library |
| 5 | reference, which gives the results of a pilot test |
| 6 | that they conducted on repositionable notes over a |
| 7 | period of a year. They have not filed that report |
| 8 | yet, but I spoke to Mr. Reiter this morning, and he |
| 9 | thought that they would be able to file it today, and |
| 10 | if that's the case, or even if they file it, I would |
| 11 | say, within the next two or three business days, OCA |
| 12 | can still comfortably submit any necessary |
| 13 | interrogatories by August 16th. It would only be a |
| 14 | problem for us if we got it on the 16th or later, I |
| 15 | would say. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: Mr. Reiter, do you have |
| 17 | anything to add? |
| 18 | MR. REITER: No. As I believe, and as |
| 19 | indicated in their motion, we have no objection to |
| 20 | having discovery run through the 16th. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN OMAS: Okay. Thank you. The |
| 22 | National Newspaper Association motion is, therefore, |
| 23 | granted. Discovery will continue through August 16th |
| 24 | I will now turn to the question of whether |
| 25 | there are issues of material fact that require an |

| | · |
|----|--|
| 1 | evidentiary hearing in this case. The National |
| 2 | Newspaper Association filing also stated that NNA will |
| 3 | not be prepared to state whether it will request a |
| 4 | hearing in this case until it receives responses to |
| 5 | its discovery. |
| 6 | There has been some confusion in recent |
| 7 | cases concerning what is meant by an evidentiary |
| 8 | hearing. I am using that term to include additional |
| 9 | steps for developing an evidentiary record, including |
| 10 | either cross-examination of the Postal Service |
| 11 | witnesses supporting the request or the opportunity to |
| 12 | provide witnesses opposing some aspects of the |
| 13 | request. |
| 14 | With that in mind, parties wishing either to |
| 15 | cross-examine the Postal Service or to present direct |
| 16 | testimony in this case are expected to provide written |
| 17 | statements to that effect by the close of business |
| 18 | today. Participants are also to identify in that |
| 19 | statement the issues of material fact that justify the |
| 20 | conduct of an evidentiary hearing. |
| 21 | I will treat the National Newspaper |
| 22 | Association motion as also seeking an extension of |

that request. NNA is on notice that should it request

time to submit such a filing until seven days after it

receives responses to its discovery. I will grant

23

24

25

an evidentiary hearing, it will be expected to support 1 2 its request with a statement explaining what issues of material fact justify its request. 3 4 Does any other participant know at this time 5 that they will be filing a request for an evidentiary 6 hearing in this case? 7 (No response.) CHAIRMAN OMAS: Mr. Reiter, on the chance 8 that there may be a request for cross-examination of 9 the Postal Service's direct case, would you please 10 11 find out whether your witnesses can be available on September 10, 2004? 12 13 MR. REITER: I will, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. Are there any 14 CHAIRMAN OMAS: 15 other matters that participants wish to raise at this 16 time? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN OMAS: There being none, I thank 19 you for being here today. I have nothing further. 20 This prehearing conference is adjourned. Thank you. 21 (Whereupon, at 11:17 a.m. the prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter was 22 concluded.) 23 24 //

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

11

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

DOCKET NO.: 2004-5

CASE TITLE: MARKET Tex of Repositionable Notes

HEARING DATE: 8-11-04

LOCATION: Washington, DC

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Postal Rox Commission

Date: ? 11-11

Official Reporter Heritage Reporting Corporation Suite 600 1220 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-4018

(202) 628-4888